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## The Greedy Method and Text Compression



## The Greedy Method Technique



The greedy method is a general algorithm design paradigm, built on the following elements:

- configurations: different choices, collections, or values to find
- objective function: a score assigned to configurations, which we want to either maximize or minimize
- It works best when applied to problems with the greedy-choice property:
- a globally-optimal solution can always be found by a series of local improvements from a starting configuration.


## Text Compression

- Given a string $X$, efficiently encode $X$ into a smaller string $Y$
- Saves memory and/or bandwidth
- A good approach: Huffman encoding
- Compute frequency f(c) for each character c.
- Encode high-frequency characters with short code words
- No code word is a prefix for another code
- Use an optimal encoding tree to determine the code words


## Encoding Tree Example

- A code is a mapping of each character of an alphabet to a binary code-word
- A prefix code is a binary code such that no code-word is the prefix of another code-word
- An encoding tree represents a prefix code
- Each external node stores a character
- The code word of a character is given by the path from the root to the external node storing the character ( 0 for a left child and 1 for a right child)

| 00 | 010 | 011 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ |


b C 4

## Encoding Tree Optimization

- Given a text string $\boldsymbol{X}$, we want to find a prefix code for the characters of $X$ that yields a small encoding for $X$
- Frequent characters should have long code-words
- Rare characters should have short code-words
- Example
- $X=$ abracadabra
- $\boldsymbol{T}_{1}$ encodes $\boldsymbol{X}$ into 29 bits
- $\boldsymbol{T}_{2}$ encodes $\boldsymbol{X}$ into 24 bits



## Huffman' s Algorithm

- Given a string $X$, Huffman's algorithm construct a prefix code the minimizes the size of the encoding of $X$
- It runs in time $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{d} \log \boldsymbol{d})$, where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the size of $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $d$ is the number of distinct characters of $\boldsymbol{X}$
* A heap-based priority queue is used as an auxiliary structure


## Huffman' s Algorithm

Algorithm Huffman $(X)$ :
Input: String $X$ of length $n$ with $d$ distinct characters Output: Coding tree for $X$
Compute the frequency $f(c)$ of each character $c$ of $X$.
Initialize a priority queue $Q$.
for each character $c$ in $X$ do
Create a single-node binary tree $T$ storing $c$.
Insert $T$ into $Q$ with key $f(c)$.
while len $(Q)>1$ do
$\left(f_{1}, T_{1}\right)=$ Q.remove_min()
$\left(f_{2}, T_{2}\right)=$ Q.remove_min()
Create a new binary tree $T$ with left subtree $T_{1}$ and right subtree $T_{2}$.
Insert $T$ into $Q$ with key $f_{1}+f_{2}$.
$(f, T)=Q$. remove_min ()
return tree $T$
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## Example

$\boldsymbol{X}=$ abracadabra
Frequencies

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
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## Extended Huffman Tree Example

String: a fast runner need never be afraid of the dark

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\text { Character } & & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{h} & \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{s} & \mathbf{t} & \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{v} \\
\hline \text { Frequency } & 9 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1
\end{array}
$$



## The Fractional Knapsack Problem (not in book)

- Given: A set S of n items, with each item i having
- $b_{i}$ - a positive benefit
- $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - a positive weight
- Goal: Choose items with maximum total benefit but with weight at most W.
- If we are allowed to take fractional amounts, then this is the fractional knapsack problem.
- In this case, we let $x_{i}$ denote the amount we take of item i
- Objective: maximize $\sum_{i \in S} b_{i}\left(x_{i} / w_{i}\right)$
- Constraint: $\quad \sum_{i \in S} x_{i} \leq W$


## Example

- Given: A set S of n items, with each item i having
- $b_{i}$ - a positive benefit
- $w_{i}$ - a positive weight
- Goal: Choose items with maximum total benefit but with weight at most W .

Items:

(\$ per ml)
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## The Fractional Knapsack Algorithm

- Greedy choice: Keep taking item with highest value (benefit to weight ratio)
- Since $\sum_{i=\Theta} b_{i}\left(x_{i} / w_{i}\right)=\sum_{i \in}\left(b_{i} / w_{i}\right) x_{i}$
- Run time: O(n $\log \mathrm{n})$. Why?
- Correctness: Suppose there is a better solution
- there is an item i with higher value than a chosen item j , but $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}>0$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- If we substitute some $i$ with $j$, we get a better solution
- How much of $\mathrm{i}: \min \left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$
- Thus, there is no better
 solution than the greedy one


## Task Scheduling (not in book)

- Given: a set T of $n$ tasks, each having:

- A start time, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- A finish time, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\right.$ where $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
- Goal: Perform all the tasks using a minimum number of "machines."



## Task Scheduling Algorithm

- Greedy choice: consider tasks by their start time and use as few machines as possible with this order.
- Run time: O(n $\log \mathrm{n})$. Why?
- Correctness: Suppose there is a better schedule.
- We can use k-1 machines
- The algorithm uses $k$
- Let i be first task scheduled on machine k
- Machine i must conflict with k -1 other tasks
- But that means there is no non-conflicting schedule using k -1 machines

Algorithm taskSchedule(T)
Input: set $\boldsymbol{T}$ of tasks $\mathrm{w} /$ start time $s_{i}$ and finish time $f_{i}$
Output: non-conflicting schedule with minimum number of machines $m \leftarrow 0 \quad$ \{no. of machines $\}$
while $T$ is not empty remove task $i w /$ smallest $s_{i}$ if there's a machine $\boldsymbol{j}$ for $\boldsymbol{i}$ then schedule $i$ on machine $j$ else
$m \leftarrow m+1$
schedule $i$ on machine $m$

## Example

- Given: a set T of $n$ tasks, each having:

- A start time, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- A finish time, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\right.$ where $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
- $[1,4],[1,3],[2,5],[3,7],[4,7],[6,9],[7,8]$ (ordered by start)
- Goal: Perform all tasks on min. number of machines


