

Documenting Operating systems 1800

Edmund Laugasson edmund.laugasson@itcollege.ee

There has been used materials from Margus Ernits, Katrin Loodus when creating current slides. Current document copying, distributing and/or modifying has been set out by one of the following licences by user's choice:

- * GNU Free Documentation Licence version 1.2 or newer
- * Creative Commonsi Attribution + ShareAlike licence 4.0 (CC BY-SA)

The charm and pain of documenting

- IT system administrators quite often would not like to create a documentation. Sometimes there is a lack of time.
 - Anyway, it was painful to get things to work and this has yet to be documented as well?
 - Often remain undocumented workaround, but which later turned out to be most resilient ...
 - Other problems are faster and documenting work remains undone

Documenting

- We document our actions, because:
 - Man forgets
 - Another admin must ensure that systems are in operation in your vacation or leave time
 - In a crisis, the existence of a good disaster recovery is essential as you need to ensure that the OLA/SLA enforcement
 - Reduces a Truck Factor number (information concentrated in one person's hands)
 - Provides an opportunity to simplify the system, since the old fragments can be removed

Context

- Documentation for a specific target group
- There cannot be a universal and comprehensive documentation created:
 - It would be too large, and contain a lot of information about what each administrator knows and interfere with its execution
 - It would be difficult to create and update
 - Not usable in times of crisis
- Writing a documentation there should be preconditions and skills of readers, followers kept in mind

Prerequisites

- Written instructions will always be valid in certain cases
- for example
 - Certain software version the operating manual applies to a particular version of Ubuntu, etc.
 - Required skills from the guide followers must be familiar with the basics of the Linux command line and be able to cope with
- Requirements to do before following these instructions
 - For example, make a backup of the data files and configuration files

Teamwork

- Please divide yourselves into two groups:
 - First group will put together of good documentation properties
 - Second group will put together of bad documentation properties
 - Both groups elect a person who will present the result

Good documentation

- Based on a good documentation there can be install and set up the same system in accordance with the rules described there
- Does not contain redundant information
- Includes the list of prerequisites and requirements, by which fulfilling the given guidance can be applied
- Is readable and understandable
- Can be followed
- Is valid (also includes the latest changes)

Bad documentation

- The document is not sufficient for the installation and restore of the initial system
- Does not contain the list of restrictions in case the document works
- Contains a misleading information
- Is outdated (all changes are not reflected in the document)
- Volatile style (the same things differently labeled)

Requirements to the report

- It consists of at least the following elements
- Data of the composers and the date
- Introduction
 - About which system the documentation is
- Prerequisites the documentation comply
 - For example, must have a working Ubuntu Server version X
 - must be fluent in LAMP environment, etc.
- The general description of service (e.g. an overview when in case of multiple machines)
- The installation and setup guide (does not contain a definition explanations)
- Backup Guide
- Restore Guide
- Service monitoring and firewalling recommendations
- Description of service testing



Requirements for installation instructions

- Variables (e.g. hostname) must be highlighted
 - e.g.
 ping <your IP>
- It should not contain redundant information
- e.g.bash# ping <your IP>bash# Is -la

Adding prompt interferes with copying and pasting.

e.g.
 ping 10.0.0.1 – using ping command helps to ensure that server is online. For sysadmin there is no need to explain that ping does.

The commands used in command-line must be separated (why not also highlighted) from the rest of the text



Backup plan

- Specifies a list of files and folders to backup
- Determines the frequency and type of backup (incremental, differential, full)
- Provides a rules of regular backup control
- Does not need to include a backup method, and program description, as it is usually done by another administrator

Disaster recovery guide

- Lists the various recovery scenarios in case of emergencies
- Must include instructions on how to restore the service
- It should be quickly accessible!
- Disaster recovery will not be kept on the server (Services), to which it relates. If the server service is down, you can not access the recovery plans ...
- It should be updated when the service infrastructure upgrades
- Rapid changes often tend to forget, and backup/recovery plan is outdated

Questions?

Thank you for your attention!

